

Monday 7 October 2019

Mr Rob O'Neill
IDG Communications
Via email

REF: OIA-1665

Dear Mr O'Neill,

Response to OIA request

I refer to your official information request dated Sunday 18 August 2019, received by NZ Health Partnerships on Sunday 18 August 2019:

- I would like to request the new FIPM business case referred to in the release, under the OIA.

The FIPM Business Case is attached. There is some information in this document that we have withheld.

The accompanying list outlines what information we have withheld, our reasons under Section 9 (2) (b) (ii), Section 9 (2) (c) and Section 9 (2) (e) for the redactions, and our grounds in support of those reasons. Please see the List of Redactions table for a fuller explanation.

We hope this satisfactorily answers your OIA requests to this organisation.

You have the right to seek an investigation and review by the Ombudsman of this decision. Information about how to make a complaint is available at www.ombudsman.parliament.nz or freephone 0800 802 602.

Please contact me if you have any additional questions relating to your request.

Yours sincerely,



Grant McGregor
Acting Chief Executive



LIST OF REDACTIONS ENCLOSED – FPIM BUSINESS CASE

#	Page Number	Description of information withheld	Reason for decision to withhold	Grounds in support of reason
1	7	Recommendations DHB names	Likely to unreasonably prejudice the commercial position of the supplier and the person who is the subject of the information.	Under section 9 (2) (b) (ii): to protect the supplier's commercial information.
2	9	Executive summary: context DHB names		
3	14	Choosing the path forward: option 3 DHB names		
4	22	Wave One went live with four DHBs in July 2018		
5	37	The sector will be positioned for further clustering DHB names		
6	70	Table 8, Option 1: financials		
7	71	Option 2, Clustered risk mitigation DHB and supplier names		
8	74	Table 9, Option 2: financials		
9	76	Option 3, single system for 10 DHBs		
10	78	Table 10, Option 3: financials		
11	79	Option 4 Clustered risk mitigation		
12	82	Table 12, Option 4: financials		
13	83	Option 5, Single system for 10 DHBs DHB names		
14	84	Financial benefits		
15	86	Table 14, Option 5: financials		
16	88	Option 6, Single national system		
17	90	Table 15, Option 6: financials		
18	96	Table 18, Financial benefits considered		
19	132	Table 27 Tranche Two DHB names		
20	136	Table 31, Total costs for preferred option-Option 3		
21	150	Impact on NPV DHB names		
22	156	Table 44 Tranche Two projects DHB names		
23	161	Figure 30 Provisional programme plan DHB names		
24	197	Target state operating model DHB names		



#	Page Number	Description of information withheld	Reason for decision to withhold	Grounds in support of reason
25	10	Value proposition DHB names	Likely to unreasonably prejudice the commercial position of the supplier and the person who is the subject of the information	<p>Under:</p> <p>Section(2)(b)(ii) Likely to unreasonably prejudice the commercial position of the supplier and the person who is the subject of the information.</p> <p>Section 9(2)(c) Disclosure “is likely to put members of the public to risk (including patient safety if information was deleted, altered or made available to third parties)”</p> <p>Section 9(2)(e) Financial risk to the public (including risk that the information could be used for fraudulent purposes giving rise to financial loss, including identity theft).</p>
26	30 - 33	Many DHBs are facing immediate IT sustainability issues		
27	41 - 43	System risk and sustainability		
28	54	Table 4, Summary of critical investment objectives Current arrangements		
29	57	Key constraints and interdependencies		
30	67	Figure 10, Option 1, Status quo		
31	67 - 68	Option 1, Status quo		
32	75	Option 3, single system for 10 DHBs		
33	137	Costing assumptions		
34	138	Table 32, benefits included		

